



**California Health & Human Services Agency  
Center for Data Insights and Innovation  
2024 Data Exchange Framework Standards Committee Meeting #1  
Transcript (1:00 PM – 2:00 PM PT, September 27, 2024)**

The following text is a transcript of the September 27, 2024 meeting of the California Health and Human Services Agency and Center for Data Insights and Innovation Data Exchange Framework Standards Committee. The transcript was produced using Zoom’s transcription feature. It should be reviewed concurrently with the recording – which may be found on the [CalHHS Data Exchange Framework webpage](#) to ensure accuracy.

[Alice K - Events] 16:01:29

Hello, and welcome. My name is Alice, and I'll be in the background.

[Alice K - Events] 16:01:34

Answering any zoom technical questions. If you experience difficulties during this session.

[Alice K - Events] 16:01:39

Please type your question into the QA.

[Alice K - Events] 16:01:42

Individuals in the public audience who have a comment may insert it in the Zoom. QA.

[Alice K - Events] 16:01:47

Public comment will also be taken towards the end of the meeting.

[Alice K - Events] 16:01:50

Live closed captioning will be available. Please click on the CC. Button to enable or disable.

[Alice K - Events] 16:01:57

With that I'd like to introduce Rim Catherine.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:02

Thank you, Alice, and thank you everyone for joining us today for this 1st meeting of the 24 Standards Committee.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:10

I apologize right now.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:13

Is the one of my lovely cats is decided to come. Visit me during our meeting today, and we'll be on camera along with me for at least the next few minutes.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:22

Let's go on to the next slide, please. Just as a reminder, Alice already mentioned that we are recording today's session, and we'll be posting that recording. If you don't want to be recorded, please leave the meeting.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:32

We start all of our meetings with our vision for the data exchange framework in California.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:39

I'm not gonna read through this.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:41

But our charge today is to help improve exchange in California by.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:50

Discussing how we might advance some of the technical standards.

[Rim Cothren] 16:02:55

Initiatives, being promoted to the Federal Government to meet the needs of our stakeholders and our participants on the data exchange framework.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:08

Let's go on to the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:10

A little snapshot of our agenda today.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:13

Our agenda looks full, but the discussion of our agenda is relatively light. Today. It is our follow on meetings where I'm expecting a lot more conversation.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:22

We'll start off with the welcome that we're doing now.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:25

But we're gonna talk. Spend a little bit of time talking about the purpose and how we are going to manage the standards committee.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:34

As we move things forward the bulk of our discussion today will be on one of the technical standards designated by Cdi for consideration.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:45

By the committee, we will pause for public comment. As close to 150 as we can.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:53

And then, just a real quick snapshot of our next steps, and any closing remarks that we have.

[Rim Cothren] 16:03:59

Just as a reminder again, if you people are welcome to.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:05

Chat! Although we normally for meetings like this, we like to keep things informal.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:10

I'd recommend that if you have something to say or a question to ask, please interrupt me, raise your hand, if that's what you need to do to get my attention. But please just speak up.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:21

And we do encourage people to keep their cameras on, so that we can have a conversation here.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:26

Let's go on to the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:29

We will take role at each one of these meetings. But today we're going to make that a little bit easier. I'm gonna ask everybody to introduce themselves real quickly, because.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:37

Not. Everybody on the committee knows everybody. I'm remarry. I'm a contractor to.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:44

Cdi, and I'll be sharing our standards committee meetings we also have. Cindy.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:53

Hopefully on the phone. She helps me in the background with a lot of the materials.

[Rim Cothren] 16:04:58

Cindy, are you out there? And you wanna come off and say, Hello! Real quick.

[Rim Cothren] 16:05:06

I knew Cindy had was going to be in the car. There you are.

[Cynthia Bero] 16:05:10

Sorry. Yeah, here I am. Hi, Barra, I'm a senior advisor with manat health. I'm glad to be here today, and unfortunately I am in transit. So I will be off camera, though I like to be on camera. But I am looking forward to the conversation.

[Rim Cothren] 16:05:24

Thanks, Cindy, and then for everyone else. If you just.

[Rim Cothren] 16:05:28

Come on, camera. If you can say your name, the organization you're with and role that you play there, ray will start with you.

[Ray Duncan] 16:05:35

Hi, Ray Duncan, from Cedar. I've been

[Ray Duncan] 16:05:39

I disagreed on all of our.

[Ray Duncan] 16:05:43

Efforts. Since we start implementing epic.

[Ray Duncan] 16:05:45

Have been working with our Q. Hio for the dxf.

[Rim Cothren] 16:05:52

Great thanks. Ray Jonathan.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:05:55

Hi! Good afternoon. Everyone. Pleasure to be here Jonathan fight. I'm co-founder and chief executive of beyond lucid technologies.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:06:02

My primary area of focus when it comes to interoperability is the mobile ecosystem. So fire services, emergency medical services and medical transport community paramedic medicine. Making sure that basically, all of these out of hospital largely emergent but not exclusively emergent medical transportation.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:06:23

Partners in this effort have their unique workflows represented. And I can tell you that on behalf of a grateful community. They are very happy to be a part of this conversation, since they are especially when when emergencies arise.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:06:38

But also people have health crises on a daily basis. So thanks very much.

[Rim Cothren] 16:06:43

Thank you, Jonathan. Danielle.

[Danielle Friend] 16:06:46

Everyone. I'm Danielle, friend. Unfortunately, I'm also traveling today, so I won't be able to come on camera, but will in the future. So I am. I work at epic, but we'll be joining this committee on behalf of Ehra. So representing the Ehr. Community and that Trade Association. To make sure we can provide our perspective on the interoperability community. And all the work that this committee will be focused on. So looking forward to it.

[Rim Cothren] 16:07:11

Thank you, Danielle Evelyn.

[Rim Cothren] 16:07:18

Didn't hear from Evelyn. Maybe she'll join us late. Dave.

[Dave Green] 16:07:25

Yeah, it's nice to meet everyone. My name is Dave Green. I am the director of Solution engineering with Point Care Point. Care provides a.

[Dave Green] 16:07:33

Electronic Health record for senior care entities throughout the country as well as provides a care collaboration tool for about half the hospitals in California, so excited to kind of speak to.

[Dave Green] 16:07:45

What we've seen and challenges we've faced and how to support.

[Rim Cothren] 16:07:49

Thanks. Dave. John.

[Rim Cothren] 16:07:56

Didn't hear from John.

[Rim Cothren] 16:07:58

So Gina.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:08:01

Hi! I'm Sheljim Ibrahim. I work for 11th health as a payer, and.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:08:06

And I'm a product manager for all the interoperability Apis. We implement as part of Cms mandates and as well as the State mandates. So.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:08:15

Live in the world of fire, and Apis.

[Rim Cothren] 16:08:19

Thank you. Sabrina.

[Mani Nair] 16:08:21

Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Manny. I'm the person who left California and the senior director for data and analytics.

[Mani Nair] 16:08:29

My interest here is to support interoperability use cases. To really.

[Mani Nair] 16:08:35

Enhance. How data could.

[Mani Nair] 16:08:38

Support. Everyone on the healthcare system.

[Rim Cothren] 16:08:40

Thank you, Tim.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:08:43

Hi, everyone. I'm Tim Polson. I'm the Senior Director of Health Informatics at manifest medx statewide hie, and also one of the designated Q hires for the data exchange framework.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:08:54

I spend a good chunk of my time working with the data framework and our participants and their compliance. I also spend a good amount of time working with cal aim initiatives that we have going on here at the hie, and.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:09:07



Working with hospitals and social service organizations to get them connected and sharing data.

[Rim Cothren] 16:09:14

Great. Thank you, Tim, and Ken.

[Rim Cothren] 16:09:22

I thought I saw Ken logged on.

[Ken Riomales] 16:09:24

I'm here sorry to double mute gets me every time my apologies.

[Kevin McAvey] 16:09:26

Yeah, I think you're on mute.

[Rim Cothren] 16:09:29

No worries.

[Ken Riomales] 16:09:29

Good afternoon. Everyone is senior Director of Interoperability for Kalmis.

[Ken Riomales] 16:09:34

We are a joint powers of authority established by all the California counties in the State.

[Ken Riomales] 16:09:40

Basically collaborating and working on multi projects and whatnot related to all initiatives, including, but not limited to.

[Ken Riomales] 16:09:47

Dxf and Cms interoperability, which also,

[Ken Riomales] 16:09:53

Brought forth our implementation of our own interoperability platforms. I'm hoping to contribute in any way. I can also provide a little bit of insight, as it relates to counting behavioral health.

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:04

Thank you, Ken. Let's go into the next slide, please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:08

Just real quickly public is invited to these meetings as well

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:13

The panelists. Here members of the committee may see.

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:18

items pop up in the QA. That comes from the public and the public.

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:23

Is welcome to add any thoughts, comments, or questions to Zoom's QA feature.

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:30

At any time during the meeting.

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:32

I encourage people as they have time to take a look at what we see in the QA. But for the committee members, please make sure that you put your own comments in the chat to everybody.

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:45

Or say them verbally.

[Rim Cothren] 16:10:48

We'll also be taking public comments. As I said before, during the meeting, at about 10 min before the hour and the time for public comment will be limited to the amount of time on the agenda.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:00

Let's go on to the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:03

And the next slide. So the.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:07

Standards Committee was created specifically to advise the center for data insights and innovation.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:13

On the evolution of designated Dxf technical standards, and I say, designated standards. The process calls.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:22

For Cdi to reach out to stakeholders.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:26

And identify a specific areas of interest and bring that before the Standards Committee here will be convening.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:34

Or may convene the Standards Committee and the second half of the year to specifically address potential recommendations on specific areas of of technical standards that are identified by our stakeholders.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:50

Let's go on to the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:53

The scope of.

[Rim Cothren] 16:11:56

Our activities here are really to advise Cdi, on the 11.

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:02

Of technical standards that are identified by Cdi. And we'll talk about what those standards are for. This.

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:08

Particular calendar year. There have been 5 areas that have been identified for us to discuss. And we'll take on one of those today, and the following meetings will take on the other 4.

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:21

This advisory body is comprised.

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:22

Primarily of technical experts, with the intent that they balance their own technical expertise with their knowledge and understanding of operational challenges.

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:33

So we're really looking for advice on.

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:37

What technical standards to advance, and when.

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:40

And we ask that people think about this from a practical standpoint, not just a technical standpoint.

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:48

And we may invite additional subject matter experts to specific meetings if they add to detailed knowledge. And so, in particular,

[Rim Cothren] 16:12:56

I would encourage members of this committee if you see gaps in the committees in certain areas feel free to suggest.

[Rim Cothren] 16:13:06

To me suggestions for people that we might invite to future meetings.

[Rim Cothren] 16:13:11

It's gone to the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:13:14

The agenda topics will be identified by Cdi, and we'll try to get out any read materials. Pre read materials in advance.

[Rim Cothren] 16:13:21

Yeah, if there are things that you might want to review before the meeting. We did do that this time, for instance, we're going to be talking about Usdi Standard, Us. Cdi standards today and so sent out the appropriate Pre. Read materials for that.

[Rim Cothren] 16:13:39

Our intent is to have no more than 6 meetings over the course of the next few months. With a cadence of having one every other week. That's a relatively rapid cadence. We're going to try to get your out of meeting

[Rim Cothren] 16:13:55

Workload as low as we can. But we do ask that you think about some of the discussions.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:00

Each week. Their goal is for us to make any recommendations that we'll have to Cdi.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:07

By the end of the calendar year. The next steps then, would be for Cdi to content to consider any adjustments or amendments that need to be made to policies and procedures.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:19

And go through our normal policy and procedure amendment process. Starting in 2025.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:26

We do ask that people try to attend all of the meetings.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:29

Because, especially after today's meeting, we're going to be talking about admission and discharge standards in a number of areas. And I think the continuity across those meetings will be important if you do expect to have difficulty making any of the meetings.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:44

Please drop us a a note so that we can see if there are any.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:49

If there's any way that we can accommodate your absence.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:54

Let's go on to the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:14:57

Meeting format. I'm not going to read through all of this, but I will say that we are recording these meetings. So be be mindful of that.

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:06

I. These are meant to be discussions, so to the extent that you can please come on camera.

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:12

And we're hoping that people will have a lively discussion here today. I'm talking a lot at you. This should be the last day that that happens. I'm hoping that you will spend a lot of time talking to each other about recommendations instead.

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:26

We will be

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:29

Publishing the recording here, and notes back both to you and on our public web page.

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:34

And ultimately we'll be producing Cdi to to act upon.

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:41

Let's go on to the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:43

So, and the next slide. So what we're charged with.

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:48

Today's discussion, we're gonna talk about the United States core data for interoperability or us, Cdi.

[Rim Cothren] 16:15:54

Us. Cdi and we have a little bit more material on that particular standard that we'll get to here in just a minute.

[Rim Cothren] 16:16:04

Future Committee discussions will consider content.

[Rim Cothren] 16:16:07

And transport standards for events and notifications of admissions and discharges, to acute and subacute.

[Rim Cothren] 16:16:16

I'm expecting that that will be a much more involved discussion.

[Rim Cothren] 16:16:21

And we'll provide some

[Rim Cothren] 16:16:24

Some background material for those discussions at that time.

[Rim Cothren] 16:16:28

Today's discussion. I'm expecting to be limited only to us. Cdi.

[Rim Cothren] 16:16:34

If we do not complete that discussion today, we'll carry it into our second meeting. If we do, just finish it before the end of the hour today. We'll give you all a little bit of time back on this Friday.

[Rim Cothren] 16:16:46

Let's go on to the next slide. Please.



[Rim Cothren] 16:16:50

So as background material, this these excerpts are from the data elements to be exchanged, policy and procedure. That's part of dxf today.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:02

And it calls for all participants.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:04

To use this, the standardized data element formats, terminologies.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:11

In us. Cdi version 2.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:14

This is the version that's been in place since this pmp. Was 1st published and became effective at that time. It is the version that all participants are.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:27

To be using in exchange today.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:31

Also just note here that the intent of the Dxf. Is to line with national and federally adopted standards.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:39

And we did define what we meant by that. But in particular us Cdi.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:45

Through version 3 at least is considered a national and federally adopted standard. So you might consider that as we

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:56

Consider discussions today.

[Rim Cothren] 16:17:59

Let's go on to the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:04

The other piece of information to be considered here is that Onc publishes part of HI. 1.

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:12

A requirement for providers to migrate from us. Cdi version one which is in Federal requirements.

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:21

To us. Cdi version 3 by January first, st of 2,026.

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:27

So that is in large part what is

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:33

So if we go on to the next slide, please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:39

This gives you a quick snapshot.

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:42

Of the differences between us. Cdi version 2.

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:47

That is currently a Dxf requirement. And Usc version 3.

[Rim Cothren] 16:18:54

We're not gonna walk through that. I'll pause here for a second so that people can look at the stars that are indicated here and give you an idea.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:03

Of how Uscdi version 3 is expanded.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:07

Some of the data elements, terminologies and value sets.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:17

And we'll come back to this. If there are any questions from.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:22

From the committee. As we continue our discussions.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:29

And this should have been in your read ahead package as well.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:30

Let's go on to the next slide.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:33

Just again real quickly

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:36

Some of the comparisons between v. 2 and v. 3.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:41

It expanded classes in certain areas, including health insurance information.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:47

Laboratory. A specimen.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:49

Information and result, status.

[Rim Cothren] 16:19:52

And there is an enhanced on more accurate and more complete patient characteristics, data.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:01

In version 3.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:04

Version 3 was adopted in January 22. So this is not a new standard.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:10

It's been out there for a while, but is not currently part of either. Dxf.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:15

Or Federal requirements, it will become part of Federal requirements. In 2020, in January of 2023.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:23

Let's go on to next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:25

So this is really the questions for us to discuss today.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:31

1st is, should the Xf transition from Usc. V. 2 to v. 3.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:38

If we believe that the answer to that question should be Yes.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:42

Should, dxf, the effective date to align with the federal programs. That is to to make version 3 effective. January 1, st 2,026.

[Rim Cothren] 16:20:55

You have to answer to that is, yes, that might be the effective date that would be listed in A. P. And P.

[Rim Cothren] 16:21:01

If the answer to that is no, that you believe that we need to provide more time or less time, then that might be indicated in the Pnp. Is an adjustment in that effective date.

[Rim Cothren] 16:21:12

And those are 2 big questions for today. But I want to at least open the door to a 3rd question. One of the things we sometimes hear is that dxf. Should always adopt.

[Rim Cothren] 16:21:24

What is ever the Ownc identifies as a Federal requirement.

[Rim Cothren] 16:21:31

Or the most recent version of us. Cdi, when it's adopted.

[Rim Cothren] 16:21:36

Uscd, IV. 5, is the most recent version currently listed. So it's a huge move forward from v, 3 that we're considering today.

[Rim Cothren] 16:21:46

And I'm interested also in hearing people's thoughts about whether we should follow the pat.

[Rim Cothren] 16:21:51

That we're following today to consider advancement of us. Cdi. Each time.

[Rim Cothren] 16:21:57

Perhaps each year, and whether we should move it forward, or whether we want to lock Dxf requirements to some external source. Requirement of a new version.

[Rim Cothren] 16:22:11

Or publication of a new version.

[Rim Cothren] 16:22:14

So people can kind of bear those questions in mind. I'm gonna ask that. We go ahead and pull the slides down. People come on camera. If you can.

[Rim Cothren] 16:22:23

And let's start off with the 1st question.

[Rim Cothren] 16:22:25

Do people believe that we should move forward to adopt.

[Rim Cothren] 16:22:29

A Us. Site us. CD, 3. Or should we remain at V. 2.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:22:39

This is Shelina from Alvin's health, and I strongly believe that we should advance to v. 3, because it aligns with the Federal mandates which is coming up so that way.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:22:50

You know we can keep one.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:22:54

Version of the data, and not have, like multiple versions for different purposes.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:23:00

So it is always beneficial to stick to.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:23:04

You know Federal mandates, or any national standards which you know, which are part of the existing rules, or so I am.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:23:15

On with that.

[Rim Cothren] 16:23:16

Well, thank you, Ray. I see your hand up.

[Ray Duncan] 16:23:20

Yeah, I'm in favor.

[Ray Duncan] 16:23:22

Of staying aligned with.

[Ray Duncan] 16:23:25

The Onc on this, because the certified Emrs are gonna track Onc.

[Ray Duncan] 16:23:32

Users of those Emrs like us are going to be upgraded to v. 3 in time to meet the onc deadline.

[Ray Duncan] 16:23:39

And you're gonna have version 3 Ccids transiting the network.

[Ray Duncan] 16:23:45

Whether you're required it or not.

[Ray Duncan] 16:23:46

So we might as well align with Oc. And take advantage of that. I think.

[Rim Cothren] 16:23:53

Great thanks. Ray Jonathan.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:23:57

Thanks. My! My view on this is.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:23:59

Pretty simple. I tend to think that.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:02

The more standard the standards are, the better.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:07

I've advocated for the same. On the Ems side. We have a standard there called the National.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:13

Ems information system.



[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:15

And to the degree that we cannot reinvent the wheel, not find ourselves on a lonely island somewhere.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:22

You know. But try to ensure that.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:26

Where there is a Federal standard that we snap to it as much as possible. That, said.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:32

I do also think there is a.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:34

Some consideration, especially, I think, Ray, you're saying you're gonna have the data coming through anyway.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:38

So there's some some gray area for Lag.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:42

Again. The best practice that I believe has is a half version back.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:47

So there's essentially 2.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:49

2 versions that are supported at any times.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:52

To recognize that not everybody is resourced.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:24:55

To transition fully at the same moment. But you are strongly limited.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:25:01

You can't go any further back than the immediate preceding version. So you're.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:25:06

Backwards. Compatibility is limited.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:25:08

So I think as long we did something like that. So if you have a Usc version, 2.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:25:14

Dot X, and that Dot X has a limitation on it, you know, if there's a subversion or something that was that was released, that we have some rules around that, but in general, I think being as close to current as possible.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:25:26

It also relieves confusion right? And then, when you're training people onboarding folks or explaining what the expectations are, you don't have to ask folks, what version are you looking at.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:25:37

Because it's whatever has been the latest, and if not, if you're not on it now, you will be soon.

[Rim Cothren] 16:25:43

Great. Thank you, Jonathan.

[Rim Cothren] 16:25:44

Danielle.

[Danielle Friend] 16:25:46

Yeah, I think, across the boarding with kind of a Federal level is a great place to start and kind of at least be at that bar, because, like Ray mentioned, you know all Hrs.

[Danielle Friend] 16:25:57

Are gonna have to hit that, anyway. I think it makes a lot of sense that we stay aligned there wherever possible. I think there's a lot of effort going into that.

[Danielle Friend] 16:26:04

And it lines up with other like industry. Timelines around.

[Danielle Friend] 16:26:07

When Us. Core standards are coming out updates.

[Danielle Friend] 16:26:11

As well as certification requirements at that Federal level. To make sure everything is consistent with those Cdi versions.

[Danielle Friend] 16:26:20

As fire. Apis and Cda.

[Danielle Friend] 16:26:21

Update to that.

[Rim Cothren] 16:26:22

Great. Thank thank you.

[Rim Cothren] 16:26:24

Sorry I didn't mean to interrupt you. One of the things that I'm.

[Rim Cothren] 16:26:28

I'm interested in. Maybe you can speak to have.

[Rim Cothren] 16:26:33

Any of the Ehr vendors with which you're familiar expressed a difficulty or a likelihood that they won't be able to meet the January 1, st 2,026 deadline.

[Danielle Friend] 16:26:44

Not that I've heard across the E community. I think.

[Danielle Friend] 16:26:48

There's been pretty good cadence with the kind of couple of year timeframe that.

[Danielle Friend] 16:26:52

Aunts he's put out. So at this point, I think everyone is moving towards that as always. I think the challenge with any new Cdi version is making sure there's both enough time to develop it on the Hr. Side as well as then. Get everyone to upgrade to it across the you know. Hr customer, community.

[Danielle Friend] 16:27:12

So I I think the 2 or so year timeframe that owns he's put out has been really good at that.

[Danielle Friend] 16:27:17

To make sure there's a lot of kind of forecasting what's coming next.

[Danielle Friend] 16:27:23

And then time to actually go implement it, develop it to the standards that are.

[Danielle Friend] 16:27:29

Kind of set in stone, and then.

[Danielle Friend] 16:27:30

People can have time to implement it.

[Rim Cothren] 16:27:33

Great, and.

[Danielle Friend] 16:27:33

So I think the Hr. Community has.

[Danielle Friend] 16:27:37

If, as far as I know, are on board of that 1, 2126 date.

[Rim Cothren] 16:27:41

Great, thank you. And if I recall and, Danielle, I'm I'm not letting you off the hook here yet. If I recall there was a deadline to certify against us. CD, 3. That's already passed. Is that correct?

[Danielle Friend] 16:27:58

I know, I believe the only deadline for Cdi. V. 3 is that 1, 1, 26 date.

[Rim Cothren] 16:28:03

Okay. Alright. I couldn't remember whether there was a different certification date and an adoption date. So thank you for that.

[Danielle Friend] 16:28:05

Office, education.

[Rim Cothren] 16:28:10

Manny's. Thank you for being patient with me.

[Mani Nair] 16:28:14

Sure I'm I'm totally in favor of your Cda version. 3 based on all the positive.

[Mani Nair] 16:28:21

There's also good to hear the Hr. Community support as well, too.

[Mani Nair] 16:28:26

Most of the new data elements that what we see in your CD version 3 adoption will really favor a lot of.

[Mani Nair] 16:28:34

Used cases on the product pair communication.

[Mani Nair] 16:28:39

Even though we're looking at, you know, 126. By the time the providers.

[Mani Nair] 16:28:45

Adopt the upgraded Emr. Then maybe even more delay.

[Mani Nair] 16:28:49

On the providers to adopt the CD.

[Mani Nair] 16:28:53

Doesn't take.

[Rim Cothren] 16:28:54

Great. Thank you, manny.

[Rim Cothren] 16:28:56

So Manny and Sultina both. I heard you both speak in favor of of moving to v. 3. 1 of the questions that I have is that that is being driven. At least the discussion and the recommendation so far has kind of been driven by own C. Moving to that version.

[Rim Cothren] 16:29:15

Cms. Is still dictating

[Rim Cothren] 16:29:18

Be one for your activities in the Provider space is that I'm excuse me in the pair space. Is that correct?

[Rim Cothren] 16:29:25

We're Cms moving to v, 3 as well.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:29:30

I I think Cms is not moving to v, 3, yet. And you know they're moving to v, 2. They're not staying at v. 1 so.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:29:40

But like overall from the interoperability perspective, like our organization, prefers to be like on track with the technology across. I mean, even though.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:29:50

The guidelines or the Federal mandate. The interoperability rules.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:29:56

Or you know the Cms prescribe to use version 2. But they don't, you know.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:30:03

And specifically call out version.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:30:05

You know, you cannot implement version 3. So we try to stick to the you know.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:30:09

Standard? Which

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:30:11

Industries adopting, I mean, that's been our general norm.

[Rim Cothren] 16:30:16

Great. Thank you.

[Rim Cothren] 16:30:18

Does anybody know of any stakeholder group that might be at particular risk.

[Rim Cothren] 16:30:22

For not being able to move forward with v. 3.

[Rim Cothren] 16:30:30

Not hearing anything.

[Rim Cothren] 16:30:32

Jonathan.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:30:32

I don't. I don't. I don't know if it's you know. I just yeah.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:30:36

Nod my head to them, says.



[Jonathon Feit] 16:30:38

I don't know that it would be a risk of.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:30:41

Not moving forward.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:30:43

So much as the ems.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:30:46

And affiliated community is still learning about us. Cdi.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:30:50

There's there's been some blank stares and some curiosity which been brought up.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:30:54

On some recent interoperability calls with the formerly known, I think, Onc agency.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:01

So I think.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:03

Again. My, my bias is we're trying to have as few moving parts as possible where there's already a lot.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:08

And so for folks who are learning about us. Cdi. Now.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:12

When they go to Federal guidance, for example, they're gonna find the latest stuff.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:16

If we have to try to explain that California, you know that that's great everywhere. But here.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:22

Especially where you have multi state ems agencies like large private services.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:28

That's just gonna be. And there's not gonna be able to play, even if they want to.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:32

So I think, trying to align, at least with what's being advocated for publicly.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:39

It's gonna be helpful for folks who are just trying to get caught up to speed now and.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:31:43

Being able to go directly to the best practice.

[Rim Cothren] 16:31:46

Thank you, Jonathan. In particular. One of the things we also had to bear in mind is that the.

[Rim Cothren] 16:31:53

Mandatory signatories to the data exchange are,

[Rim Cothren] 16:32:01

Essentially all healthcare providers or healthcare plans.

[Rim Cothren] 16:32:06

Other organizations in the healthcare space.

[Rim Cothren] 16:32:10

However, dxf was designed to also account for social services, and so we at least need to keep in the back of our mind.

[Rim Cothren] 16:32:18

But we are establishing a standard that social services voluntary signatories there, or as we move forward and.

[Rim Cothren] 16:32:27

Incorporate social services. Better that needs to be considered.

[Rim Cothren] 16:32:31

I'm gonna pause here real quickly. Thank you for joining us. You missed our quick round of introductions. If you wanna just.

[Rim Cothren] 16:32:39

Wave at everybody and introduce yourself the organizations you're with and the role you play there real quickly.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:32:46

Sure my apologies. I was running late in another time. Call. But Hello, everyone. I'm Evelyn CEO of Emi advisors. I do a lot of work with the Federal agencies. So most of our team works directly with Federal health partners.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:32:59

Particular asp otherwise previously known as Onc, and I've been working in the standard space space for over almost 20 years.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:33:10

And especially in the last couple of years on the social care data standards and care coordination. So I co-founded the gravity project in 2,018 and gravities, a. A Sdh data classes were included in Usdi version 2. So I have a lot to say about the uptake of them in the field. So we've been spending a lot of time on ensuring that they're properly disseminated. There's education around them.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:33:34

But of course more work is needed.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:33:38

Nice to meet.

[Rim Cothren] 16:33:39

Great. Thank you.

[Rim Cothren] 16:33:41

So we've talked about us. Cdv, and it sounds like most people advocate moving forward. The next question is, when is the right time to do that. So I'm gonna pause here for just a second.

[Rim Cothren] 16:33:54

And remind people of how dxf amends its policies and procedures the current.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:02

Process, for that is dictated by a policy and procedure.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:07

For the development or modification of existing policies and procedures.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:12

It calls for a public process.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:15

Including public comment on any proposed amendments.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:19

And at a minimum.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:22

100 and 80 day period between the publication of an amendment.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:26

And when that amendment becomes successful.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:30

Excuse me effective. That is true, for everything that is not an administrative change.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:36

Or something that is required by a change in applicable Law.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:40

What we're talking about here with the movement of standards such as Uscd to v. 3.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:45

Would be subject to that 180 day delay period. So my next question is, if we moved forward smartly in 2025.

[Rim Cothren] 16:34:54

We might be able to publish an amendment to the P. The data elements to be exchanged.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:01

To include v. 3.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:04

Prior to the end of June, which means that it could become effective.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:08

Prior to January 1, st 2,026. So my question to you.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:13

Is whether we should.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:16

Put a provision in the Pnp.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:18

That it that v. 3 becomes effective on January 1, st 2,020.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:26

Or immediately upon publication of the Pmp.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:32

Danielle. I see your hand up.

[Danielle Friend] 16:35:35

So ready to answer I think similar to my previous comment the alignment with on se's timeframe, I think, would make a lot of sense. At 1 1 26 date.

[Danielle Friend] 16:35:45

I think, especially moving it up before that, I think, would just throw a lot of folks off on their existing plans with both the development side as well as implementing.

[Danielle Friend] 16:35:54

Getting that turned on at.

[Danielle Friend] 16:35:56

You know, customer sites.

[Rim Cothren] 16:35:58

And I think that's similar to what I at least implied in.

[Rim Cothren] 16:36:03

A lot of the other comments that that I heard today about moving to v. 3. So maybe I should simplify this a little bit. Does anybody believe that we should do other than align with January 1, st 2,026 either move it earlier to make it effective.

[Rim Cothren] 16:36:21

More rapidly in.

[Rim Cothren] 16:36:24

A dxf. And I saw Ray shaking his hand at that.

[Rim Cothren] 16:36:29

Or later to provide additional time beyond what is providing.

[Rim Cothren] 16:36:34

Is there any reason people see, to not adopt the January 1st date.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:36:42

This is Tim. Hi, yeah, I'm I'm a.

[Rim Cothren] 16:36:43

Yes. Yeah.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:36:45

I'm a little mixed to be honest. I like the alignment of January 1, st 26. I know that there are a number of organizations out there now.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:36:55

That through whatever reasons don't have the Hrs that are certified, or organizations that don't even have ehers, that I think a 6 month window might be a bit challenging for them to comply.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:37:06

With that window. Knowing with what some of our organizations went through to be compliant with us. Cdt. In time for the January first.st

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:37:15

2024 deadline.

[Rim Cothren] 16:37:18

Thanks, Tim.

[Rim Cothren] 16:37:21

Ken.

[Ken Riomales] 16:37:22

Yeah, really quick, clarifying question, are we recommending the absolute adoption of v. 3, or are we saying a minimum version of v, 3.

[Rim Cothren] 16:37:31

You tell me.

[Ken Riomales] 16:37:34



Personally, I think it would be a minimum set. There might be some more innovative organizations out there who are going above and beyond v. 3.

[Ken Riomales] 16:37:41

V. 5 is published right now, but I believe v. 6 is anticipated for July 2025. So.

[Ken Riomales] 16:37:46

We're gonna see a lot more. It was mentioned earlier with regards to payers and whatnot, and their compliance of us. Cdi.

[Ken Riomales] 16:37:54

One of the biggest gripes from the Us. Cdi perspective in terms of payers is.

[Ken Riomales] 16:37:59

Claims are not part of us. Cdi.

[Ken Riomales] 16:38:01

So, if by chance claims miraculously appears on v. 6.

[Ken Riomales] 16:38:06

I i i would not want to be in a position where we hinder organizations from adopting v. 6 to kind of innovate and get a little bit ahead of the curve.

[Ken Riomales] 16:38:16

Because we have such a rigid policy in terms of E. 3. So my recommendation would be a minimum. V. 3.

[Ken Riomales] 16:38:22

With consideration, and also allowing for higher versions, if you know necessary, and such.

[Rim Cothren] 16:38:28

Thanks, ken I'm

[Rim Cothren] 16:38:31

Real quickly. Does anybody have thoughts on Ken's suggestion? There.

[Rim Cothren] 16:38:38

Are there ascending or descending.

[Rim Cothren] 16:38:42

Dave, I saw your hand just come up. If.

[Rim Cothren] 16:38:46

Commenting on Ken.

[Dave Green] 16:38:46

Yeah, yeah, I I agree with Ken on the minimum set. I don't think we're gonna be able to prevent.

[Dave Green] 16:38:53

Organizations from kind of innovating and getting ahead of the curve, especially with the time it takes to achieve these standards. I I will say that as we and I'm I'm not countering my argument here, but as we allow later versions that come into the fold what we will be able to see more workflows and use cases be available through that with data sharing and and what's available. And so

[Dave Green] 16:39:15

Standardizing a the minimum data set as v. 3, I think, is critical. But knowing that certain organizations are going to be able to do a little bit more address other needs, just because, certain Ehrs or systems are gonna be able to provide a higher version.

[Rim Cothren] 16:39:32

Thanks, Dave. So, Gina, I see your hand up.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:39:35

Yeah, I mean, from our perspective, like I said, I mean, we align with the Federal mandate. So we will be like ready by 1 26. No doubts about that, but, like.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:39:47

Organizations who have not been on that path, and you know they'll have to implement something in 6 months. Timeframe. I think that's a little challenging from the technical aspect of it. Like like I said when we would be ready because we are already in work for that right like, because we know about it. But you know.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:40:05

If we publish something which is very specific to California framework, like in June.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:40:11

Looking at the number of changes.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:40:15

And Usc version 3. I think it could be a little challenging for organizations to bring. I mean, you'll also have to think from technology perspective. What if that data is scattered across the organization and not at the place where you know it could be part of the data exchange. So.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:40:31

Every organization, have their own.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:40:33

We have consolidating the data and bringing it to like a data repository to make it available. Right? So all those factors come into picture when you make it available. So.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:40:44

My thought is, it could be challenging for someone.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:40:49

Who is, you know, who's not already in work for that.

[Rim Cothren] 16:40:52

So at least what I'm hearing there is that there is a desire to align with the January 1, st 2,026 date, but there is some concern about the 6 month runway.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:04

And what that might suggest is that Cdi should do everything it can.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:10

To communicate the intent to move to v. 3 earlier than June.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:15

So that people have a LinkedIn way. Does that seem? I at least saw Tim nodding his head.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:21

Does that seem.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:24

Like perhaps a path forward to, to at least consider.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:41:30

Yes.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:30

Thank you for that.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:33

Any other thoughts on timing.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:39

If not, I do want to raise, then our final question that we had at least planned for today.

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:46

And

[Rim Cothren] 16:41:48

We have. Cdi has in the past always specific standards that were required with potentially other things recommended, but not required.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:00

Including minimum version numbers. And so we've talked today about moving to B 3 is mandatory.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:06

Or perhaps B 3 as a minimum.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:11

But one of the things that often comes up in discussions is why isn't just locked into.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:21

Requirements. And so I'm interested in people's thoughts about whether the Pnp. Should require.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:28

Either. The most recent version of Cdi.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:33

Usc. I am not hearing that today. Nobody has been advocating that we move to 5.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:40

Or that we make changes to dxf automatic with changes in Federal requirements to upgrade in pace with Federal requirements.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:51

I'm interested in people's, especially on the latter. Should we lock Dxf. To Federal requirements.

[Rim Cothren] 16:42:58

Or should we continue to have meetings like this one today, where we.

[Rim Cothren] 16:43:03

Discuss the pros and cons of moving to a later us. Cdi version.

[Rim Cothren] 16:43:10

Rather than locking it in.

[Rim Cothren] 16:43:12

Evelyn, I see your hand up.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:43:15

Yes, so I would. I would advocate for the latter just to be did a lot of work to educate the market at large, that th. This is coming right? So even, you know, they're moving on to Usci version 6. So there's a runway where there's information shared of what's included. Of course, it goes through the big large public comment period. So I think, having it this way, it sort of sets the stage that.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:43:37

You know there's the expectation of, and you know, and again, if there is a concern with that, this is where the public at large can send their public comments to Onc versus waiting for it to come through. Cdi.

[Rim Cothren] 16:43:55

Alright. And and Evelyn, I wanna make sure.

[Rim Cothren] 16:43:57

You, said the latter.

[Rim Cothren] 16:44:00

And now, of course, I can't remember which order I suggested things in. So you, advocating for us to determine a version every year, every time or to lock in. You're advocating for locking into Federal requirements.

[Rim Cothren] 16:44:14

Okay, thank you, Evelyn. I see your hand up.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:44:15

Yes.

[Ken Riomales] 16:44:17

Yeah, thanks. I I generally advocate for aligning with Federal requirements, but.

[Ken Riomales] 16:44:23

Ding in the State of California, and just having gone through 2 years of many initiatives that we've.

[Ken Riomales] 16:44:29

I'm conscious of anything that could potentially.

[Ken Riomales] 16:44:32

Conflict with any Federal requirements.

[Ken Riomales] 16:44:36

And I point, and I generally ask, what potential scenarios could we have to address that could potentially conflict with that.

[Ken Riomales] 16:44:45

So you know, Colleen has its own requirements for data sharing. Dxf is there. We have Cms interoperability.

[Ken Riomales] 16:44:52

And for the most part they kind of play within their own yards, if you would. Now we had a little situation with aligned a little bit there, but.

[Ken Riomales] 16:45:03

Would we be putting organizations or dxf signatories in a position where.

[Ken Riomales] 16:45:09

They may have to choose one or another, or have to conflict, because I have to be compliant with one version or one particular instance, I I'm not advocating one way or another. It's really an open question to the rest of the the committee here to determine, you know. Ha!

[Ken Riomales] 16:45:24

Perhaps we should think about whether those potential scenarios could come in play here.

[Rim Cothren] 16:45:32

Other people's thoughts.

[Rim Cothren] 16:45:44

Evelyn, I saw you come off. You don't have to raise up your hand if there's silence, please. Everybody just chime in.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:45:48

Okay.



[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:45:51

No, I I agree. I think it's this is why I think it's an opportunity. When Oc. Announces or ask for public comment, I think the 1st thing that California should do is, look at. How will this.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:46:02

Align or compete with other California program requirements. So it gives a chance, you know, to give that feedback back to the Federal Government saying, this is gonna break right? So, or it's so, I think there's there's that opportunity. So you don't feel that you have.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:46:16

I agree absolutely the interoperability should be something that a lot, you know, you have that across state level state level. We should not be creating different policies. Because of of unique programs is really figuring. How do we make this work across.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:46:32

Across the board, and it could be, I agree, a minimum.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:46:36

But I think that's there's an opportunity there again to provide that feedback. And I've seen it happen right. I've seen it happen where they move something forward into Usda. You know, it happened this year. I could give the example around Care Plan. I've been working on Care Plan, care plan standards. For over 15 years we have tested, we have advocated, and when they put it up through public comment, market wasn't so, they they did not include a new. So they do listen. So I think this is a part.

[Evelyn Gallego, EMI Advisors] 16:47:01

Where you give the feedback and and say what what's gonna work or not? Work.

[Rim Cothren] 16:47:05

Great. Thank you.

[Rim Cothren] 16:47:06

For people that haven't been in these meetings with me. I'm just gonna warn you that sometimes I will call people out to get their opinions too.

[Rim Cothren] 16:47:14

So, Gina, you had voice before, that you are ready at your organization to move up to v. 3. But that may be not be uniform across the plans. Would you have a concern.

[Rim Cothren] 16:47:26

With establishing that we keep.

[Rim Cothren] 16:47:29

The Xf. Aligned with Federal standards.

[Rim Cothren] 16:47:33

Rather than have these discussions every time.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:47:35

Yeah, I think the alignment fits in better and you know the challenge with like having multiple discussions on adopting and then just taking like 6 months again. And you know, so it just.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:47:49

It. It just sounds better to be aligned with the Federal.

[Sheljina Ibrahim Kutty] 16:47:57

Standard. You know, progression, what we have.

[Rim Cothren] 16:48:00

Okay, thanks. And, Tim, you voiced some concern about the runway, do you think? Aligning with the Federal standards.

[Rim Cothren] 16:48:09

Impacts that at all, either positively or negatively.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:11

I.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:12

I think it impacts it positively. So I I certainly.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:16

I had some of the same thoughts that Ken was thinking. I could not come up with a concrete example of what that might be, but who knows what tomorrow brings but.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:25

I think if Onc makes a particular version.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:29

You know, Standard, and there's a 2 year runway. I think that.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:33

Aligning with that gives everyone enough time to do what they need to do their vendors implementation. The rollout gives everyone enough time to be prepared.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:43

And I do like Evelyn's suggestion that if.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:46

Cdi. And whatever committee is also paying attention to those proposed rules. That's an opportunity.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:48:53

For our group to get together to understand where there may be conflict with other types of initiatives to provide feedback. So I think the alignment.

[Tim Polsinelli] 16:49:01

Makes sense.

[Rim Cothren] 16:49:02

Great thanks, Tim.

[Rim Cothren] 16:49:05

Jonathan. I don't see your face, but I'm gonna call on your name, hoping that you're still out there in particular, you're in a space that is not dictated by the same.

[Rim Cothren] 16:49:16

Federal entities, and so requirements in the Ems or fire space aren't dictated by as much.

[Rim Cothren] 16:49:24

Do you have a concern with locking into requirements that come out of Federal requirements?

[Jonathon Feit] 16:49:32

No, the only reason I didn't say anything is because I.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:49:34

I feel like I probably made that point.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:49:38

Earlier. I just don't want to avoid being redundant, because I haven't doing that. I've been told.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:49:44

I think at the.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:49:46

The the reality is especially here in California, because the dxf. For now.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:49:52

Here's a plug for a B 13 1.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:49:55

Not that I need to tell anybody here that that was important.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:49:58

But while the Ems fire, etcencies are voluntary participants, they are signing up.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:05

To play it. So they want to be a part of this conversation. They want parity.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:12

I think it's if, from my perspective, from this community's perspective, it's a really.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:17

Wonderful opportunity.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:20

For mobile medical professionals to be at the table, and in order to do that they need to bring the goods.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:25

And they know that. So I don't think there's going to be every.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:30

Agency participating, I think, the ones who self select to do so.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:34

You know, they're gonna be some that are super early. There are going to be some that are a little later.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:39

But all of them want to be able to sit at the table and have parity, and in order to do that.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:43

They are starting to learn what it means to exchange information with the rest of the healthcare system.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:50

Well, it goes to what I said before. I think I'm trying to be sympathetic to the idea of.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:55

That some of this is a fire hose for folks who have not.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:50:58

Participated in it, and so, having.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:01

There is some safety, I think, in being able to say this is not California's decision. It's not cedarized decision. Sorry your logo right from my eyes.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:10

Right it. It's not any organization. This is the Federal Government.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:15

And to the degree that Federal agencies are working together as well.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:20

The Department of Transportation, which is where Ems sits.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:25

Primarily is working very closely.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:28

With a.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:29

Asp. Right? Yeah. So asp to align their mandates.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:36

So I think we would be, you know.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:39

I I would advocate for being as close to those Federal standards as we can.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:43

And hopefully, I didn't resay everything I said earlier.

[Rim Cothren] 16:51:45

Thank you. Jonathan.

[Rim Cothren] 16:51:46

Well, let's pause. Here we are at time for public comment. Alice, if you want to bring the slides back up, I think there's a slide with instructions on the next slide, and if you want to take us through public comment, please.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:51:55

Oops!

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:01

Absolutely. Thank you, RAM.

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:05

Members of the public.

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:08

Must raise their hand for zoom facilitators to unmute them.

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:12

If you logged on via zoom.

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:15

Press, raise hand at the bottom of your screen. If selected to share your comment, you will receive a request to unmute. Please ensure you. Accept before speaking.

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:23

If you dialed in by phone only, you can press Star 9 on your phone to raise your hand and listen for your phone number to be called.

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:31

If selected to share your comment. Please ensure you are unmuted on your phone by pressing Star 6.

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:36

People will be called on in the order in which their hands were raised, and will be given 2 min. Please state your name and organizational affiliation. When you begin.



[Alice K - Events] 16:52:47

And it looks like we currently have one hand raise.

[Alice K - Events] 16:52:53

Lindsey, you should not be able to unmute.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:52:55

Great. Hi! Everyone! This is Lindsey, product manager at Kaiser Permanente. You can hear me right.

[Rim Cothren] 16:53:01

Yes, we can thank you.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:53:02

Okay, great thanks. So I will admit I wasn't completely following the runway. So this may have addressed my question.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:53:10

But the asp standards apply to.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:53:15

The vendors right to be able to certify their Ehr technology to have cert.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:53:21

And the date says they must comply with or be.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:53:24

You have a CD. 3. Compliant by 1, 1, 26.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:53:28

Whereas the data exchange framework applies to primarily providers and plans.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:53:33

So there's a chance that our vendors may not release the capability to.

[Lindsey Lopez (KP)] 16:53:40

Use us CD, 3 until 1, 1 26. But we can't take it and adopt it until you know, whenever we're able to upgrade to that version of their technology, so that with the runway is accounting for that type of lag when the providers and plans are able to adopt the technology.

[Rim Cothren] 16:53:58

Thank you for your comment, your question. I think that that is something that we should take into account. So we'll we'll.

[Rim Cothren] 16:54:06

We'll we'll we'll think about that, and that may be something that the committee wants to.

[Rim Cothren] 16:54:11

Wants to comment on as well.

[Rim Cothren] 16:54:12

Thank you for joining our conversation today. I do appreciate that.

[Alice K - Events] 16:54:21

And we have.

[Rim Cothren] 16:54:22

Alice.

[Alice K - Events] 16:54:23

No other hands raised at this time.

[Danielle Friend] 16:54:25

And I can comment at least from the Hr. Provider side. I think

[Rim Cothren] 16:54:29

Absolutely I was going to give if if we are done with public comment, Alice, watch for other hands. But.

[Rim Cothren] 16:54:36

Yes, if there are thoughts about Lindsay's question, I'd certainly like to voice them.

[Danielle Friend] 16:54:42

Yeah, I was just gonna say, I think on the provider side of that 1 26 date.

[Danielle Friend] 16:54:46

Since it's been out there for a while from Oncp. So getting used to the new name,

[Danielle Friend] 16:54:52

That is like the live and implemented and using. So I know most. Hr.

[Danielle Friend] 16:54:58

That I've talked to have planned.

[Danielle Friend] 16:55:00

To get their development out there sooner, or with enough time to upgrade folks to that.

[Danielle Friend] 16:55:05

I know on epic that's baked into our plan. And then I have heard similar sentiments on the Hr. Side.

[Rim Cothren] 16:55:15

Thanks. Daniel.

[Rim Cothren] 16:55:17

Alice, did we get any other public comment? Requests.

[Alice K - Events] 16:55:20

No hands at this time.

[Rim Cothren] 16:55:27

But let's go on to the let's close public comment. Then let's go on to the next slide.

[Rim Cothren] 16:55:32

And the next slide. Please.

[Rim Cothren] 16:55:35

Since we are a little bit ahead of schedule, not very much, but a little bit ahead of schedule. I wanted to allow anybody in the committee here. If you have any less thoughts about us. Cdi.

[Rim Cothren] 16:55:48

Any of the comments that were made before that you've had a chance to mill over a bit and have something else you'd like to add.

[Rim Cothren] 16:55:54

Pause here for a minute to see if there are any other final comments.

[Rim Cothren] 16:56:05

Hearing none, we'll be able to give you a few minutes back. You should have 5 additional meetings of the Standards Committee on your calendars now they're listed here.

[Rim Cothren] 16:56:16

And so we'll see you all again.

[Rim Cothren] 16:56:19

In a few weeks.

[Rim Cothren] 16:56:22

And at our next meeting we will begin discussing content and transport standards.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:56:23

Okay.

[Rim Cothren] 16:56:29

For admissions and discharges from acute and subacute facilities. So that'll be our topic.

[Rim Cothren] 16:56:39

Through the end of the series.

[Rim Cothren] 16:56:41

Jonathan, I do see your hand up.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:56:43

Yeah, just real quick. Not about the content, but since the meetings you had mentioned earlier there was some material sent out.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:56:50

I recall personally getting the sched, the invitations for the calendar. I don't recall seeing attachments.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:56:58

If those were there, if you could send them out, or let them know where to find them.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:57:03

That'd be fantastic.

[Rim Cothren] 16:57:05

Thanks, Jonathan. We'll make sure that that actually did go out to you. In this particular case. They were all documents available online. So it had been links in the message.

[Jonathon Feit] 16:57:13

Thanks.

[Rim Cothren] 16:57:15

Rather than attachments, but we'll look into that. Make sure that it got out to you. Thanks.

[Rim Cothren] 16:57:22

If there isn't anything else, then thank you. Everyone for a productive 1st meeting. We'll talk a little less time to review our recommendations from today to make sure that that's in alignment, and we'll move on to our next topic. Thank you. Everyone.