



**California Health & Human Services Agency  
Center for Data Insights and Innovation  
Data Exchange Framework Technical Advisory Subcommittee (TASC) Meeting  
Transcript (2:00 PM – 3:00 PM PT, November 19, 2024)**

The following text is a transcript of the November 19, 2024, meeting of the California Health and Human Services Agency and Center for Data Insights and Innovation Data Exchange Framework TASC. The transcript was produced using Zoom's transcription feature. It should be reviewed concurrently with the recording – which may be found on the [CalHHS Data Exchange Framework webpage](#) to ensure accuracy.

[Alice K - Events] 17:01:02

Hello and welcome. My name is Alice and I'll be in the background answering any Zoom technical questions.

[Alice K - Events] 17:01:08

If you experience difficulties during this session, please type your question into the Q&A.

[Alice K - Events] 17:01:14

Individuals in the public audience who have a comment may insert it in the Zoom Q&A at any time.

[Alice K - Events] 17:01:19

Public comment will also be taken towards the end of the meeting.

[Alice K - Events] 17:01:23

Live closed captioning will be available. Please click on the CC button to enable or disable.

[Alice K - Events] 17:01:28

And with that, I'd like to introduce Rim Kaufman.

[Rim Cothren] 17:01:32

Thank you, Alice.

[Rim Cothren] 17:01:34

Thank you for joining us this afternoon. We have a pretty small group this afternoon.

[Rim Cothren] 17:01:39

focus group on this topic. It's most of the people that attended our last meeting as well. So I'm hoping to have

[Rim Cothren] 17:01:45

an informal conversation today. Let's go on to the next slide. This is our

[Rim Cothren] 17:01:52

vision for the data exchange framework. And in the context of that vision, we're really looking at what do we need to do to continue to move things forward.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:01

And that's the topic of fire and a fire roadmap that we want to talk more about today.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:07

Let's move on to the next slide. Our agenda is pretty simple for today.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:12

We'll do the welcome, which I'm doing now. We'll spend our time really in the discussion item, steps to advance

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:20

fire within DXF.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:23

We'll pause for public comment at about 10 before the hour, and then we'll talk very briefly about next steps.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:29

Let's go on to the next slide, please.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:32

Public comment, Alice already talked a little bit about public comment opportunities.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:36

Members of the public can use the Q&A feature to ask questions or make comments during the meeting at any time.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:43

The panelists here are all

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:47

The questions and answers are all visible to you too. Feel free to take a look at what is there to address any of the items you see there.

[Rim Cothren] 17:02:55

In fact, if you feel like answering some of the questions, if you think they're pertinent, feel free to do that.

[Rim Cothren] 17:03:00

Public comment will also be taken during the meeting at approximately the time listed on the agenda, which is 10 before the hour.

[Rim Cothren] 17:03:08

And we'll be limited to the total amount of time allocated for public comment.

[Rim Cothren] 17:03:13

Let's go on to the next slide, please. It's really just a marker about what we're talking about right now. And I want to think of this as relatively in broad brushstrokes.

[Rim Cothren] 17:03:23

about advancing fire within the DXF and

[Rim Cothren] 17:03:27

In particular, there's some kind of big questions that I want to try to address in that context today.

[Rim Cothren] 17:03:34

Let's go on to the next slide. I want to start off by just a very brief

[John Helvey] 17:03:39

Okay.

[Rim Cothren] 17:03:40

summary of really what we heard during the last meeting. And I would invite those that were speaking on some of these topics to take a look here and please chime in if you think that there's something important.

[Rim Cothren] 17:03:51

that we missed or you think there is something that we said that we didn't represent correctly. I'm not going to read this slide at you, but feel free to take a look here real quickly.

[Rim Cothren] 17:04:01

See if there's anything more about the context of your stakeholder area that you'd like to say.

[Rim Cothren] 17:04:18

Quiet group today. You guys are going to have to make some noise before too long.

[Rim Cothren] 17:04:22

Let's go on to the next slide.

[Rim Cothren] 17:04:24

And I singled out social services and the federal programs here because I kind of cut Jim off after talking about social services and we ran out of time for Chris.

[Rim Cothren] 17:04:35

So I put you both on this slide in case anybody on the task had questions for either of them since there really wasn't time for questions in our last meeting.

[Rim Cothren] 17:04:45

So first of all, for both of you.

[Rim Cothren] 17:04:48

is a summary here at least approximately appropriate. And then if anybody has questions for either Jim or Chris.

[James Shalaby] 17:04:58

It looks like mine is...

[James Shalaby] 17:05:01

accurate and very, very summarized.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:04

It is very, very summarized. That's true.

[Chris Muir] 17:05:05

Thank you.

[James Shalaby] 17:05:07

Yeah.

[Chris Muir] 17:05:09

Yeah, I would say the same thing, but happy to answer any questions about it.

[James Shalaby] 17:05:14

Thank you.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:15

Thanks, Chris.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:16

Well, people are thinking about it. They got any questions for Jim and Chris.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:21

I did want to note that I got a note from Cassianne

[James Shalaby] 17:05:25

Thank you.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:26

She apologized from Adventist.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:29

She apologized for not making her meeting today. She couldn't make the last meeting either. Apparently she has classes in how to use Epic that she's attending right now.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:38

But she did send a note here that I thought I would go ahead and read for people so that they had the benefit of her comments.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:45

She was able to review the recording and just for everybody's awareness, we do post the recordings for these meetings.

[Rim Cothren] 17:05:53

So that is at least some safety net for the incredibly brief summary that we're giving on the slides here.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:01

But Adventist Health functionality includes provider and patient apps with some strictly fire connections, mainly read-only.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:09

And some combining HL7, V2 and fire capabilities. I think that's

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:13

at least somewhat consistent, Hans, with what we heard from you.

[James Shalaby] 17:06:15

Thank you.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:17

Further, adoption of fire has not been discussed at this time.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:21

with our organization, but to advance, we'd be looking toward the capabilities of our EHR as well as other industry capabilities who'd like to connect.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:30

With that being said, there's definitely concerns with resourcing and financial requirements.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:36

to create these connections. I agree with the speakers that capabilities for fire can enhance data exchanges called out in the last call realistically.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:45

With adoption timeline of January 2027, I think we're still premature to create a DXF roadmap.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:52

Other than require what's needed for January 2027,

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:56

And apologies for not being able to attend today.

[Rim Cothren] 17:06:59

She actually there at the end of that email brought up one of the things that I really want to make sure that we talk about today is what is the right timing for actually outlining

[Rim Cothren] 17:07:07

a DXF roadmap for fire.

[Rim Cothren] 17:07:12

If there aren't any other questions about what we heard.

[Rim Cothren] 17:07:15

Let's go on to the next slide.

[Rim Cothren] 17:07:18

And these are really the questions that I have for you today. The second bullet is the one that we just really talked about very briefly and that Cassianne brought up is fire maturity and adoption sufficient for us to create a roadmap for DXF now?

[Rim Cothren] 17:07:33



Or should we be revisiting this in 2025 and it's really premature.

[Rim Cothren] 17:07:38

In particular, what would be the primary use cases for FHIR within DXF? What we heard, I think, mostly last time is that we're talking about apps.

[Rim Cothren] 17:07:47

Does DXF have any business talking about how things work in apps?

[Rim Cothren] 17:07:52

Perhaps it does in individual access, which DXF hasn't called out much in the way of requirements for individual access so far.

[Rim Cothren] 17:08:01

If not, if you don't think that it's sufficient to create a roadmap now, what would trick

[Rim Cothren] 17:08:07

trigger roadmap development, what would need to advance that we should bring this back to the agenda?

[Rim Cothren] 17:08:14

And really, what are the next steps to establish fire roadmap for DXF? Jim, I see your hand up.

[James Shalaby] 17:08:21

Yeah, I was just going to

[Rim Cothren] 17:08:21

Alice, why don't we go ahead and bring down the slides so we can look at each other's faces. Thanks.

[James Shalaby] 17:08:25

Okay. Yeah. And I didn't want to interrupt. I was going to just

[James Shalaby] 17:08:30

throw my comments in regarding maturity level.

[Rim Cothren] 17:08:31

Yeah, please do.

[James Shalaby] 17:08:33

Okay, so my experience has been it really depends a great deal on your use cases.

[James Shalaby] 17:08:40

And the priority of your use cases and when they're supposed to, you know.

[James Shalaby] 17:08:43

When you're planning to roll them out and even more specifically, if these use cases illustrate workflows that you're trying to support, specific types of

[James Shalaby] 17:08:52

activities that you're trying to support because

[James Shalaby] 17:08:56

And I found that, you know, fire is mature um

[James Shalaby] 17:09:01

Maturity really depends on what you need to support and there is a lot of maturity there to be used. We use that in New York. We use that in

[James Shalaby] 17:09:07

Missouri, you know, we're used in several other states but

[James Shalaby] 17:09:13

The other thing that's very helpful is that we also, when we're implementing FHIR in SDOH context is that

[James Shalaby] 17:09:21

We take a tiered approach. We generally think about implementing FHIR in three different tiers.

[James Shalaby] 17:09:28

to ease the implementation and to ease adoption of the standard. So the first tier is typically terminology, standard terminologies that are exchanged.

[James Shalaby] 17:09:37

The second tier is being able to exchange those standard terminologies by any means.

[James Shalaby] 17:09:41

Whether using direct message or V2 or any other type of messaging vehicle. The third tier is really full

[James Shalaby] 17:09:48

full fire adherence to an implementation guide like gravity for example

[James Shalaby] 17:09:54

And that's the most difficult tier.

[James Shalaby] 17:09:57

But depending on what you're trying to support in use cases, if you're trying to support workflows that need interoperable steps for

[James Shalaby] 17:10:04

workflow coordination, care coordination, then, you know, implementing fire

[James Shalaby] 17:10:11

you know, full fire is, you know, implementation of, let's say gravity

[James Shalaby] 17:10:16

can provide the most value. If on the other hand, the initial use cases really require

[James Shalaby] 17:10:21

a lot of focus on exchange of

[James Shalaby] 17:10:23

terminology, standardized terminologies for services provided, referrals, statuses, things like that

[James Shalaby] 17:10:30

then certainly it's lower hanging fruit. It's easier to implement that.

[James Shalaby] 17:10:35

But it's fit for purpose if that's your initial

[James Shalaby] 17:10:39

your initial needs or what your use cases are indicating are initial needs.

[James Shalaby] 17:10:43

So I'd say that

[James Shalaby] 17:10:46

The answer of is it mature or not depends a great deal on the use cases but

[James Shalaby] 17:10:50

most likely mature if we can see the use cases can certainly give you a much

[James Shalaby] 17:10:54

better idea of the level of maturity.

[Rim Cothren] 17:10:56

Great. Thanks, Jim. Chris, I see your hand up.

[James Shalaby] 17:10:59

Sure.

[Chris Muir] 17:11:00

Yeah, I just wanted to address kind of partially your first question.

[Chris Muir] 17:11:04

that you had on there about what use cases should be targeted. Mine will probably be a little bit higher than use cases. My comments, maybe exchange purposes.

[Chris Muir] 17:11:15

Certainly when we were looking at TEFCA,

[Chris Muir] 17:11:19

We saw treatment and individual access services as being kind of the top priority.

[Chris Muir] 17:11:24

You know, those are the things that are being exchanged today.

[Chris Muir] 17:11:28

And, you know, especially treatment

[Chris Muir] 17:11:30

And we wanted to take advantage of the capabilities that were already in the certified EHRs

[Chris Muir] 17:11:36

And then I guess secondly, healthcare operations was important because we wanted to

[Chris Muir] 17:11:43

begin bringing in the payers into the mix.

[Chris Muir] 17:11:47

And as was mentioned in one of the previous slides.

[Chris Muir] 17:11:54

You know, what we found was the payers really aren't doing document-based exchange for the most part. They're not doing the IHE profiles and so

[Chris Muir] 17:12:02

You know, in order to kind of loop them in

[Chris Muir] 17:12:05

It seemed really important to quickly move towards fire.

[Chris Muir] 17:12:10

So I'll just leave it there for now. I'm sure other thoughts will come up as we discuss.

[Rim Cothren] 17:12:17

Thanks, Chris.

[Rim Cothren] 17:12:21

Yeah, Hans, you guys are being really formal today. We have a small enough group. I think you guys can just speak up.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:12:23

And...

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:12:28

I was not on camera yet, so I'm going to put it on there.

[Michael Marchant] 17:12:30

You better be careful with what you ask for there, Rim.

[Rim Cothren] 17:12:33

Yeah, I know. I know, Michael. I hear you.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:12:36

There we go.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:12:39

But the comments that I was going to make related to this is I completely agree is that use cases drive where the maturity of fire is at.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:12:48

For a lot of queries, it's starting to be very widely used.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:12:53

But then again, as the example, perhaps in certain areas, not as much as we try to look at

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:00

images as a to clear for that it's not quite there yet. It's not that you could not do it but the guidance

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:05

that we all consistently can do it and share a link in a way that if I take that and I go somewhere else, that I can still access that. It's not quite there. So I think there's definitely a spectrum

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:15

on what it is, but from a general purpose general

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:20

if you will, USCDI.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:23

scope level that we're currently at and progressing towards three.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:27

queries are definitely becoming widespread.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:33

If you're looking at more complex workflows, other ones, other examples, EPA, we still have a little bit to learn, but it's getting there.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:41

So if we then translate that into what does that mean are we is it too soon to create a roadmap? It all depends on what is the intent of the roadmap. Is it to signal

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:13:51

Is it to get first a productive use out of that? What are we trying to do? And I could see there that it's not necessarily that we have to wait for a roadmap.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:02



But at the stage that we're in, we want to be careful being too ambitious by putting things in a timeline for use cases that are just not quite mature yet.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:14

let them percolate.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:16

At that point in time, I would be looking at what's happening at the federal level with HC11

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:22

Whereas that heading, because we know that that is a good bonus of technology that is going to pick that up.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:28

And that's in flight to do that. So that's fire or fire your score defined is that

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:36

for California, perhaps it is.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:38

since it needs to look not just at certified software, that it can send a signal if you want to start to participate further in the fire space.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:47

start to use those. Whether you're certified or not, that's a different discussion then, but that we can start to signal that. So I think there's opportunity to already start.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:14:56

Particularly when we look at federal level activities that are already driving a core set of capabilities there. If we also start to look at TEFCA,

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:07

It's going to help out with over the next one or two years.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:10

to get the scaling of dynamic registration otherwise in play

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:15

we can look at that say put them on a roadmap somewhere as an intent of that's where we need to move

[Ken Riomales] 17:15:16

Thank you.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:21

even though it need not be an immediate by X date, you must support

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:28

et cetera, et cetera.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:30

had created progression phases.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:34

without too strong of a timeline.

[Rim Cothren] 17:15:37

Thanks, Hans.

[Rim Cothren] 17:15:40

Do other people agree that the right place for us to be thinking now is

[Rim Cothren] 17:15:46

Notionally about where we're going as opposed to doing requirements at this time, but that there is some utility in being notional

[Rim Cothren] 17:15:55

notional.

[Chris Muir] 17:15:56

Yeah, I'll just echo that, what Hens was saying. I think that is important. It kind of gives people an idea of where you're going, maybe not necessarily the exact details, but

[Chris Muir] 17:16:08

You know, letting people know that we have, for example, we are moving to fire, you know, and as

[Chris Muir] 17:16:15

some specificity, I guess, but...

[Chris Muir] 17:16:18

But I think at the federal level, we found that very helpful because, you know, people were wondering, you know, is fire going to play a role and

[Chris Muir] 17:16:26

And if so, what? And how are you going to roll it out and

[Ken Riomaes] 17:16:30

Thank you.

[Chris Muir] 17:16:30

And, you know, and so we were able to provide some answers

[Rim Cothren] 17:16:35

Thanks, Chris.

[Ken Riomales] 17:16:39

Yeah, I echo the sentiments that people have said on this call in terms of

[Ken Riomales] 17:16:44

really focusing on the use case for fire

[Ken Riomales] 17:16:47

For those organizations who had regulatory requirements to implement FHIR, it's a very different paradigm than what your traditional

[Ken Riomales] 17:16:53

HIEs or your provider to provider type data sharing had.

[Ken Riomales] 17:16:58

Fire in the realm of CMS interoperability was very patient-centric.

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:02

So it's more about empowering the clients to retrieve their data using FHIR.

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:07

Is that a realm that we want to get into in terms of guiding or providing guidance along with the exf because that's a whole different

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:14

can of worms in terms of, well, now you're talking patient access here, not just exclusive provider to provider type data sharing.

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:19

One of the things to keep in mind as far as the specificity of what kind of FHIR use case you're going to utilize and

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:26

This is something that a lot of organizations also encountered in

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:31

get meeting their compliance with CMS interoperability is

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:34

You know, that baseline level of fire doesn't mean

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:37

certain use cases. For example, the certification under certain EHRs

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:42

Although fire is something that's called out on there, the specific implementation guides that adhere to patient access or provider directory aren't part of those specs.

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:52

So one organization can easily say, hey, I'm FHIR compliant, good to go.

[Ken Riomales] 17:17:56

But without the specificity of the use case itself or even a transactional roadmap.

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:01

it can be very far from what the intent is. So I think it's going to be very important

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:06

to really specify what type of use cases or at least

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:10

define the paradigm that we're looking at here. Are we looking at it specifically from a provider, a treatment payment operations care workflow?

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:18

analogous to IHE, just using fire or are we talking very broadly

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:23

And exploring a full spectrum of fire. So I think there's some value

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:28

in defining it. Now, whether or not a roadmap or we want to try to land on the exact roadmap right away.

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:33

I don't know the right answer for that, but I would definitely say that perhaps an iterative approach may be considered here.

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:40

I think with a lot of things, there's going to be a lot of evolution with fire as well

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:45

Especially until we start solidifying some of the federal guidance around that.

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:49

So we definitely don't want to paint ourselves into a corner thinking, okay, we landed on this. Lo and behold.

[Ken Riomales] 17:18:55

you know, six months down the road, oh, we've got to change that again. So perhaps considering an iterative approach.

[Rim Cothren] 17:19:01

Thanks, Kim.

[James Shalaby] 17:19:02

And I agree with you, Ken, completely. I think the iterative approach

[James Shalaby] 17:19:06

At least that's what we did in New York, and it was very useful

[James Shalaby] 17:19:09

And what we ended up with was very different than what we started with. Starting with the use cases, we started out with a

[James Shalaby] 17:19:19

with a roadmap that we believed was where we wanted to be, but iterating through the use cases with SCNs and with broader other stakeholders.

[James Shalaby] 17:19:29

the roadmap and also was informed by the use cases and changed over time.

[James Shalaby] 17:19:34

So that really resonates with me, I think.

[James Shalaby] 17:19:38

That makes sense. Yeah.

[Rim Cothren] 17:19:40

So I've kind of heard two things here is that we should think about the paradigm was the word Ken used. I think we've all said something similar to that, or many have said something similar to that, maybe with different language.

[Rim Cothren] 17:19:52

And a need to think about the use case in order to assess

[Rim Cothren] 17:19:58

whether fire was ready for that or not.

[Rim Cothren] 17:20:01

So what would you guys suggest?

[Rim Cothren] 17:20:04

as a step for

[Rim Cothren] 17:20:09

an initial use case and what should be the paradigm around that use case that we would take?

[Ken Riomales] 17:20:15

One thing I would consider is our other efforts in the state in terms of

[Ken Riomales] 17:20:21

other programs that are being considered. One that comes to mind is the Ask Me program or expansion of Ask Me.

[Ken Riomales] 17:20:27

While that's still being technically discussed in the framework strategized and whatnot, that was still based off of fire in terms of that

[Ken Riomales] 17:20:35



level of federation to query any kind of consent or patient identity.

[Ken Riomales] 17:20:40

I would hope that we would consider that particular workflow

[Ken Riomales] 17:20:44

in alignment with what we recommend. It doesn't make sense for the state to have one program that

[Ken Riomales] 17:20:49

promotes fire for this specific use case, but it doesn't even, or it's not even included in what we're recommending so

[Ken Riomales] 17:20:56

I don't know if I can properly articulate what that would sound like or be framed up in terms of an actual policy or whatnot.

[Ken Riomales] 17:21:03

But I would think at a minimum, we should consider what's already

[Ken Riomales] 17:21:08

being promoted or being worked on in the state.

[Ken Riomales] 17:21:11

And use that as kind of a baseline, if you would, to say, okay, there's one fire use case. CMS interoperability, which many organizations are already

[Ken Riomales] 17:21:19

compliant with, Cassian alluded to that in her communication. That's a potential other use case as well.

[Ken Riomales] 17:21:25

So I would say at a minimum, we need to look at what's already being pushed out there versus trying to identify additional use cases which may not be at a

[Ken Riomales] 17:21:34

at a high level of maturity just yet.

[Rim Cothren] 17:21:40

Thanks, Ken. Other thoughts on that?

[John Helvey] 17:21:44

This is John from Sacramento.

[John Helvey] 17:21:48

I agree with all the comments that have been made. I think it should be

[John Helvey] 17:21:52

Definitely an iterative process.

[John Helvey] 17:21:55

And we shouldn't get ahead of ourselves and kind of let the use cases define.

[John Helvey] 17:22:00

where we go i think there's...

[John Helvey] 17:22:02

a lot of work around

[John Helvey] 17:22:04

developing the use cases primarily as a framework for what we can do.

[John Helvey] 17:22:10

Looking at the consent

[John Helvey] 17:22:13

And having that be fire federated or centralized, how does that work? How do you manage

[John Helvey] 17:22:18

Fire endpoints, who's a centralized person, you know.

[John Helvey] 17:22:22

who manages those? Because you got without knowing the fire endpoints you

[John Helvey] 17:22:26

it's not going to be useful.

[John Helvey] 17:22:30

You know, in a federated way, what's the complications behind that?

[John Helvey] 17:22:35

right in in California.

[John Helvey] 17:22:36

So I think that there's a lot of

[John Helvey] 17:22:39

things that still have to be kind of

[John Helvey] 17:22:44

worked out before we can actually

[John Helvey] 17:22:47

have an impact in a roadmap.

[John Helvey] 17:22:50

necessarily.

[John Helvey] 17:22:52

So definitely keeping it in your room.

[Rim Cothren] 17:22:56

Thanks. A number of you have mentioned the Ask Me Pilot. I'm curious, do you call that out because you think that it's well suited for fire because fire

[Rim Cothren] 17:23:06

has the tooling there already or because it is a new use case and exploration and therefore it's ripe for a new standard?

[Rim Cothren] 17:23:16

What are your thoughts?

[John Helvey] 17:23:19

the latter of your statement is my thought.

[John Helvey] 17:23:22

I think it's a new use case and it's

[John Helvey] 17:23:25

Why build it off of legacy

[John Helvey] 17:23:28

technology. However, we may get into a position where that doesn't fit, right? Not everybody can adopt to the new

[James Shalaby] 17:23:33

Thank you.

[John Helvey] 17:23:36

the new fire.

[Rim Cothren] 17:23:39

And so, John, I want to make sure that I understand that then rather than doing

[Rim Cothren] 17:23:45

document-based query exchange, which is still a lot of how data moves around today, rather than replace that with FHIR, you would think that our first iterative step would be a new use case.

[John Helvey] 17:24:01

Oh.

[John Helvey] 17:24:07

That's hard.

[Rim Cothren] 17:24:07

And I don't mean to put John on the spot. He was the last one that came off mute. If anybody has a thought about that, I'm really curious because

[Rim Cothren] 17:24:15

I mean, that's the alternative is do you stand up fire in parallel with something that we already require?

[Rim Cothren] 17:24:22

As an ultimate replacement of an old technology. I will go ahead and say that a lot of the plans hadn't stood up

[Rim Cothren] 17:24:30

the IHE profiles that a lot of the provider organizations had. So that was a natural for some of our stakeholders, but not so much for others.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:24:39

When we talk about, does it always have to be a green space? If you do that, then you can say, okay, leave document exchange, currently IG.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:24:49

I believe it is this, and we're going to focus on just data element level queries but

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:24:53

Documents are a form of data, so once you start to do FHIR, you effectively are including in that the ability to get access to documents as well.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:02

So I think we can look at this parallel is that let a document, a Firebase document exchange, let that emerge as well.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:15

Because that still will be relevant.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:16

But we're also going to find is that less things, documents are going to be less full purpose that they currently use for. CCDs, for example.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:25

have a tremendously good opportunity to be managed better in fire

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:31

not using the document format in FHIR.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:34

So I think we're going to have a mix of things of

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:37

Yeah, we have document exchange because there are documents. There always will be documents. And being able to do that using a fire paradigm or a IHE document exchange paradigm

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:48

is helpful, start to build it up. And over time, we'll see what happens with

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:51

IT Document Exchange.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:54

But then add to it the additional data element level capabilities you have to fire.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:25:59

the queries, the general purpose queries

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:02

So maybe not a specific use case, but it's starting to create the adoption of the fundamentals of you need to have a fire infrastructure, fire server. How are you going to do that?

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:12

et cetera, et cetera. So by starting with general queries around, let's say, USCD iScope, fire your score.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:20

you can start to get those fundamentals in place beyond the software that's already certified to them.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:26

and bring that up because that's going to be the foundation for document exchange, for data exchange

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:32

for other things as well. So it's a mix of greenfield

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:37

and parallel starting to replace or augment existing methods

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:42

Because now I can start to do everything in FHIR. We already see in a couple of networks is that there are parties that are not using IHE document exchange

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:51

in the XD, et cetera world.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:53

they're just using Firebase Document Exchange.

[Ken Riomaes] 17:26:57

Yeah.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:26:57



And it works. And we don't know who that party, I know who it is, but you don't know who it is if you're just going across the networks.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:27:05

just the method they use to serve it up and make it available.

[James Shalaby] 17:27:12

One thing that also might be useful

[Ken Riomales] 17:27:13

Okay.

[James Shalaby] 17:27:16

to take into consideration is

[James Shalaby] 17:27:18

in parallel in determining what use cases are priority and

[James Shalaby] 17:27:21

what you'll focus on is what the capabilities are of the endpoints

[James Shalaby] 17:27:25

Because sometimes the endpoints we're talking about as you flesh them out of the use cases.

[James Shalaby] 17:27:33

may be very capable of

[James Shalaby] 17:27:35

enabling themselves to be fire adherent at least or compliant.

[James Shalaby] 17:27:39

Some endpoints may not. We found, for example, in some of our situations

[Ken Riomales] 17:27:43

Mm-hmm.

[James Shalaby] 17:27:44

that the CBOs were were not

[James Shalaby] 17:27:46

going to be anywhere near fire able. So we have to put in place intermediaries like

[James Shalaby] 17:27:52

SCNs or

[James Shalaby] 17:27:54

referral management systems to act as fire enabled solutions

[James Shalaby] 17:27:58

to allow them to communicate and fire

[James Shalaby] 17:28:01

But I think if you have a pretty good idea

[James Shalaby] 17:28:03

If you have an inventory of capabilities of the endpoints, that certainly would help.

[James Shalaby] 17:28:09

you know make that decision right

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:28:11

I think that's an important point and that John made as well around directories. What are the endpoints? What can they do?

[James Shalaby] 17:28:18

Mm-hmm.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:28:18

No matter where we start, instead, how do you build that up?

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:28:23

Where are they going to live? Is it that the individual points are going to be published in a way that you can discover them?

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:28:29

our QHIO is going to be used for that national networks that they're building up directories

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:28:34

how are we enabling somebody to find out who is capable of doing what?

[James Shalaby] 17:28:39

Hmm.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:28:41

So.

[John Helvey] 17:28:41

And that's one of the reasons why I think, you know, with the TEFCA use case and

[John Helvey] 17:28:47

everything that you have in Tufta and how they're going to convert the fire

[John Helvey] 17:28:50

I mean, why get ahead of that horse? You know, it's like

[John Helvey] 17:28:55

That's kind of where I'm at. I mean...

[John Helvey] 17:28:57

definitely exploring new opportunities but

[John Helvey] 17:29:00

you know, let the bulk of the work happen

[John Helvey] 17:29:02

before we start to try to get ahead of it.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:06

I would agree with that, particularly for something like directory management and how you work through that. They are

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:14

going that path and trying to figure that out, how to do that at a national network scale.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:18

But at the same point in time, is that we also see that individual systems are being fire enabled that already can start to let people

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:27

or apps or otherwise begin to connect to that.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:31

So some combination of the two

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:34

the fundamentals of getting the endpoints uh

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:39

to adopt first and working on the rest and then let other use cases evolve as they come about.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:48

It might be that in January 2027 that EPA is up and running okay

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:53

How can we expand that further in California? So I think it's a little bit of both.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:29:58

We don't need to wait for the directory, but we can also not start without doing something about the directory either

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:30:05

let them figure it out.

[Rim Cothren] 17:30:08

Great. Thank you.

[Ken Riomaes] 17:30:09

I feel like there's a fine balance that you have to maintain with

[Ken Riomaes] 17:30:14

publishing any kind of guidance and being innovative but

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:18

not ignoring or purposely leaving behind organizations that may not have the capacity.

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:24

to comply with that. And what James mentioned earlier about some of the use cases are

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:30

experience that they ran into with that with CBOs.

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:32

That's very real, especially coming from public health or behavioral health and

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:39

the side of the house that, you know.

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:42

basically services or acts as the safety net, if you would, there's resources are often far in between. So sometimes it's very unrealistic. There are still folks when

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:52

You mentioned fire. They're like, what's that?

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:54

So we're still at that level there. So how do you

[Ken Riomales] 17:30:58

put guidance in place.

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:00

that it's it's

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:02

innovative, but at the same time

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:03

It's still trying to be inclusive and promote

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:06

that level of compliance there.

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:08

I think that's going to be what we want to land on.

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:11

I agree with not getting too far ahead of what the federal side is trying to do.

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:16

And if our previous meetings are any indication, we're probably going to adopt or very much aligned with what the federal guidance will publish.

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:25

I think that's safe to say as far as heavy consideration is concerned.

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:30

But fire is such a broad, it has such a broad spectrum. There's an opportunity

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:35

to really be inclusive, but at the same time

[Ken Riomales] 17:31:39

not be to the point where we're shutting people out or a big segment of the spectrum or continuum from that.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:47

She tended a roadmap be much more of a rather than a compliance framework

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:53

is more an educational framework.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:56

Awareness, where are things heading

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:58

If you want to jump on fire to achieve these general types of things and where the industry is going.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:32:04

start here. It's not that we're going to ask you to comply with that, but it gives you the path

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:32:09

to where to begin. Start with queries, build up your file infrastructure that way.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:32:14

a ton of terror, would that be the better function of the roadmap?

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:32:17

As opposed to a compliance roadmap that says by January X, we're going to require everybody to do X, Y, Z.



[John Helvey] 17:32:25

Yeah, I think that's a great point, Hans. I was getting ready to say something very similar is that

[John Helvey] 17:32:30

you know, education is key, getting ahead of the getting people ahead

[John Helvey] 17:32:35

so that they can ask the right questions as they're starting to choose technologies or platforms or

[John Helvey] 17:32:41

things that they're starting to implement at a CBO, social services.

[John Helvey] 17:32:47

at any level of whole person care, right?

[John Helvey] 17:32:49

So that they don't sit there and they don't

[James Shalaby] 17:32:51

Okay.

[John Helvey] 17:32:52

take everything that they're doing and put it in a pot

[John Helvey] 17:32:56

that's not going to be adaptable to the future, right? And so I think that that's a key

[John Helvey] 17:33:01

educational component and just

[John Helvey] 17:33:04

educating people and saying, hey, here's the future. This is where we're going. This is where the

[John Helvey] 17:33:09

national networks as well. I think that's a key factor in us eventually getting to that point.

[Chris Muir] 17:33:16

Yeah, I agree with that. And we're doing something similar to that at the federal level too. And I'm not really necessarily talking about the roadmap per se, although the roadmap helps do that.

[Chris Muir] 17:33:26

But even within our

[Chris Muir] 17:33:28

are facilitated fire SOP

[Chris Muir] 17:33:31

You know, we tell them that, you know, long term we're going to use UDAP because it's scalable, you know, um.

[Chris Muir] 17:33:38

Registration, authentication, authorization.

[Chris Muir] 17:33:42

The way the state of the art right now with smart, you know, it's really more point to point. But with UDAP, it's more scalable.

[Chris Muir] 17:33:52

So we, but we tell them, you know.

[Chris Muir] 17:33:55

UDAP or, you know, the Sarah IG, you know, from, you know, the fast security ig

[Chris Muir] 17:34:01

It was long-term direction that we're going, but right now we're giving optionality

[Chris Muir] 17:34:05

But by, you know, a certain time, you know, everyone's got to move towards that ig

[Chris Muir] 17:34:11

And so it's somewhat compliance based, but we're

[Chris Muir] 17:34:16

We're telling them there's optionality, but long term, this is where we want to get to.

[James Shalaby] 17:34:25

And that makes sense. I think that one thing that might act as a nice enabler besides

[James Shalaby] 17:34:31

education and, you know, as Chris was saying the optionality

[James Shalaby] 17:34:35

approach of providing optionality is also accompanying that with enablers.

[James Shalaby] 17:34:40

So, you know, besides education

[James Shalaby] 17:34:42

for let's say, CBOs, for example, that are typically not very technically

[James Shalaby] 17:34:46

you know advanced as far as fire and technical infrastructure

[James Shalaby] 17:34:51

Enablers could be a combination of vendor or state level solutions that are that are

[James Shalaby] 17:34:58

you know um portals that are enabled with FHIR that can actually act as a bridge

[James Shalaby] 17:35:03

you know until we reach a point of maturity where they can

[James Shalaby] 17:35:08

they can start to implement FHIR in their referral management systems, for example.

[James Shalaby] 17:35:13

But what we found is that the enablers are also another way to give

[James Shalaby] 17:35:18

give those who don't have the capability crutches to be able to

[James Shalaby] 17:35:22

you know to kind of slowly on ramp. Also, that means that the approach that you know

[James Shalaby] 17:35:28

might want to take as a kind of funnel approach where upstream

[James Shalaby] 17:35:32

You can support multiple means of

[James Shalaby] 17:35:36

of collecting data and exchanging data

[James Shalaby] 17:35:38

but funneled to those enablers so that they can be fireized or

[James Shalaby] 17:35:43

standardized as they get into

[James Shalaby] 17:35:46

into the data exchange framework and into

[James Shalaby] 17:35:49

federated repositories that will make that data available to other endpoints.

[James Shalaby] 17:35:54

But some way to enable

[James Shalaby] 17:35:58

those participants who are not

[James Shalaby] 17:36:01

anywhere near fire ready may be another way to

[James Shalaby] 17:36:05

to lessen the burden.

[Rim Cothren] 17:36:10

Thanks.

[Rim Cothren] 17:36:14

Other thoughts?

[Rim Cothren] 17:36:20

Michael, after your...

[Rim Cothren] 17:36:22

threat to interrupt everybody. You've been quiet. Do you have any thoughts?

[Michael Marchant] 17:36:27

I mean, nothing that anybody hasn't already covered. I think that the fire roadmap

[Michael Marchant] 17:36:32

you have kind of multiple channels in california

[Michael Marchant] 17:36:36

do we do something different than what's being done nationally with PFCA? What's the value prop for us and what does that even look like?

[Michael Marchant] 17:36:43

I think the idea is that we all believe that fire is the correct direction.

[Michael Marchant] 17:36:48

I think what the alignment or around

[Michael Marchant] 17:36:51

I can existing efforts, whether it's USCDI and TEFCO, or it's something different

[Michael Marchant] 17:36:56

You know, you still need the foundational pieces, which, you know, fire at scale task force has talked about. I mean, directory services, security, trust, those sorts of things. So do we duplicate that? Do we do something different? I think there's a lot there.

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:08

I do think that just generally supporting and acknowledging that fire for specific use cases may be a better avenue to collect discrete data.

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:17

Especially in workflow, getting the right data where it's needed, when it's needed is probably the appropriate approach.

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:24

really the technical infrastructure on how we achieve that is still in question and whether that's through DXF or some other mechanism.

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:30

I think that's where, you know, probably the guidance or direction from how California perceives

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:37

this to happen will help us kind of provide some insight on you know is that a

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:43

is that a service provider? Is that through existing mechanisms? Is it something else?

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:48

will help us as a committee provide better direction because right now it's still a little bit

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:53

unclear as to how we'll

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:55

advance

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:57

the fire initiatives

[Michael Marchant] 17:37:59

outside of something that either exists

[Rim Cothren] 17:38:04

Thanks, Michael.

[Rim Cothren] 17:38:08

Other thoughts?

[Ken Riomales] 17:38:15

Okay.

[Rim Cothren] 17:38:21

Well, frankly, I found this a really useful conversation.

[Rim Cothren] 17:38:27

I don't want to belabor things if people feel like that they've said their piece. I think that it's given us some idea about at least where

[Rim Cothren] 17:38:37

this group of people think we ought to go

[Rim Cothren] 17:38:40

One of the things that I would say to some of Michael's point, CDII has been pretty clear



[Rim Cothren] 17:38:48

In that...

[Rim Cothren] 17:38:50

in its desire to leverage

[Rim Cothren] 17:38:52

federal requirements and nationwide initiatives whenever it's possible. So I don't think that we necessarily be looking for fire to do something

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:01

different than what else is going on, but is there anything that we think that we should raise the bar

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:07

in earlier implementation sooner.

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:11

What I heard primarily here is we should

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:17

be um

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:18

more communicative and educational

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:22

about direction and less about compliance and requirements and timelines.

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:28

Then something like that. Did I hear that correctly?

[James Shalaby] 17:39:34

I don't think so.

[John Helvey] 17:39:36

there.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:39:37

Go ahead. I was going to say yes. I thought at least for some of us that

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:39:42

that's indeed the intent. That's compliance-oriented, more educational awareness

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:39:47

where things are happening and where they're going.

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:50

Do you think that watching what is going on with TEFCA is...

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:57

primary

[Rim Cothren] 17:39:59

spotlight. I mean, where if you were to pick

[Rim Cothren] 17:40:03

a place for us to focus our attention.

[Rim Cothren] 17:40:06

Would that be it? Would it be someplace else?

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:40:09

I think it's DEFCA and HDI.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:40:12

HCIN, if you will.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:40:15

some fundamentals

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:40:18

picked them out from HCI 1.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:40:22

two and where that signing and then TEFCFA, doing it in a networked environment

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:40:26

that's probably the combination of capabilities and

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:40:30

building blocks that

[Rim Cothren] 17:40:39

Does that make sense to others?

[Rim Cothren] 17:40:41

I'm not seeing disagreement.

[Rim Cothren] 17:40:47

Thanks, John.

[James Shalaby] 17:40:49

Okay.

[James Shalaby] 17:40:53

Is there, you know, Rem, is there currently um

[James Shalaby] 17:40:57

some significant documentation or starting point documentation on

[James Shalaby] 17:41:01

use cases and workflows that are priority that

[James Shalaby] 17:41:06

that need to be supported in California or is that something that

[James Shalaby] 17:41:11

is in process because it's in process

[James Shalaby] 17:41:14

Yeah.

[Rim Cothren] 17:41:14

So the roadmap that is currently out for public comment gives at least some idea about future use cases.

[Rim Cothren] 17:41:23

I don't know that California has done a great deal to detail use cases

[Rim Cothren] 17:41:29

Rather than adopt some of the paradigms that the nationwide networks have

[Rim Cothren] 17:41:36

been pushing, have been promoting

[Rim Cothren] 17:41:39

And having good success with as

[Rim Cothren] 17:41:42

Let's do these same things, but let's make it clear that everybody needs to participate in those use cases.

[Rim Cothren] 17:41:49

So I think to your point that especially as we look into new areas, maybe there is a good place for us to do more use case development and prioritization.

[Rim Cothren] 17:41:58

And maybe at a more detailed level and what the roadmap does now.

[James Shalaby] 17:41:59

Yeah.

[Rim Cothren] 17:42:03

If people are interested in not just here, but members of the public that might also be

[Rim Cothren] 17:42:10

the DXF roadmap is out for public comment right now for at least another couple of weeks.

[Rim Cothren] 17:42:15

And it might be a place to identify areas that you think that there should be use case exploration in certain areas. It might be a good place to suggest some of those.

[Rim Cothren] 17:42:26

In particular for you, one of the pillars is on social services, Jim, so you might want to take a look there.

[James Shalaby] 17:42:26

Yeah.

[James Shalaby] 17:42:31

Okay, yep, I'll take a look and

[James Shalaby] 17:42:33

And the reason, again, I mentioned it is that it's, I think it's that intersection between

[James Shalaby] 17:42:38

you know those priority use cases and what Hans mentioned which is you know

[James Shalaby] 17:42:44

HGI 1 and 2 and, you know, and TEFCA.

[James Shalaby] 17:42:49

will be kind of the sweet spot, right? Because the use cases will allow you to figure out

[James Shalaby] 17:42:54

what subset of the standards are applicable

[James Shalaby] 17:42:59

now and and you can move forward with

[Rim Cothren] 17:43:04

Great. Thank you.

[James Shalaby] 17:43:05

But I will take a look at that, yeah.

[Rim Cothren] 17:43:07

Catalina, if you get a chance maybe to pull the link for where that's posted for public comment and what the due date is, I think that we're still looking at two or three weeks worth of public comment.

[Rim Cothren] 17:43:18

period there. So, um.

[James Shalaby] 17:43:19

Okay.

[Rim Cothren] 17:43:21

There should be some time.

[Rim Cothren] 17:43:24

Anything else?

[Rim Cothren] 17:43:29

Well, I'm not afraid to give people some time back. Like I said, I think this has been a useful conversation. I appreciate that.

[Rim Cothren] 17:43:35

Why don't we bring the slides back up? We're a little early for public comment, but let's go ahead, Alice, and turn ourselves to public comment and see if there's anything there.

[Alice K - Events] 17:43:45

Great. Thanks, Trent.

[Alice K - Events] 17:43:47

Participants may submit written comments and questions through the Zoom Q&A box and all comments will be recorded and reviewed by CDAI staff.

[Alice K - Events] 17:43:56

to make a verbal comment, members of the public must raise their hand for Zoom facilitators to unmute them.

[Alice K - Events] 17:44:01

If you've joined via Zoom interface, you can click raise hand at the bottom of your screen. And if you've dialed in by phone only, press star nine.

[Alice K - Events] 17:44:10

To raise your hand and listen for your number to be called.

[Alice K - Events] 17:44:14

All individuals will be given two minutes. Please state your name and organizational affiliation when you begin.

[Alice K - Events] 17:44:21

And we have no hands raised from the public at this time.

[Rim Cothren] 17:44:24

Okay, well, we'll give people just a few more minutes.



[Rim Cothren] 17:44:32

I will go ahead and bring people's attention to a question that we did get from Rita. Is or Will DXF?

[Rim Cothren] 17:44:41

planning to involving consumers and managing their digital identities is originally envisioned in the digital identity strategy.

[Rim Cothren] 17:44:48

Another place where I point people perhaps at the DXF roadmap where it talks about a number of different areas.

[Rim Cothren] 17:44:56

where identity management will be important.

[Rim Cothren] 17:44:58

So it's a good place for the public to weigh in on

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:05

Where the public believes we should put some of our focus in digital identity and identity management.

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:12

Thanks, Catalina, for dropping into the chat the links to the

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:18

roadmap for public comment.

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:22

And public comment ends on the 9th.

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:26

So a little time yet.

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:29

Any other hands raised?

[Alice K - Events] 17:45:31

No hands raised.

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:33

All right.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:45:34

Quick clarification question. It's December 9th. I thought it was the 54, so it was extended.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:45:40

Or did I look at a different date?

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:42

So there are two things out for public comment right now, Hans. One of them is the roadmap.

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:47

And I'm going to trust Catalina's to pull that as the right date.

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:52

Also, just yesterday, we released a potential amendment

[Rim Cothren] 17:45:57

to the data elements to be exchanged PNP to align with USCDI

[Rim Cothren] 17:46:03

V3 and auto align with ONC requirements, one of our earlier topics. So that is also out for public comment.

[Rim Cothren] 17:46:11

Public comment on that, I believe, ends just after the first of the year. So there's quite a bit of timeline on that one still.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:46:20

Great. Thanks for clarification.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:46:23

I can strike out the fifth then. That's good.

[Rim Cothren] 17:46:28

If there isn't anything else, let's go on to the next slide. It's really about our next steps here. And so one of the things that I wanted to ask you, we have one more

[Rim Cothren] 17:46:39

meeting currently scheduled on December 10th.

[Rim Cothren] 17:46:40

for us to get together and talk about fire

[Rim Cothren] 17:46:44

Do you believe that we need to have that meeting or do you think that you've really said your piece, that you've made the comments and that

[Rim Cothren] 17:46:52

TDII should take that.

[Rim Cothren] 17:46:55

And move that forward. How many people want to get together again on the 10th and talk more about the fire roadmap?

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:47:05

will be challenged that I will be in the air at that time.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:07

Yeah. I will be challenged because I will be on my way to the Sequoia

[John Helvey] 17:47:09

Yeah.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:12

eHealth exchange slash care quality meeting so

[Rim Cothren] 17:47:13

Okay, well...

[Rim Cothren] 17:47:16

Well, Ben, I think that I'm probably going to recommend that we go ahead and pull that meeting down, give you that hour back.

[Rim Cothren] 17:47:22

It sounds like some of you are not going to get that hour back that you're going to be busy during that time anyway.

[Rim Cothren] 17:47:28

Really appreciate the comments that you've had today in the discussion today.

[Rim Cothren] 17:47:33

So we'll give you that hour back and about 10 minutes back here today. We will summarize our findings here and report back to CDII with that.

[Rim Cothren] 17:47:43

And with that, we'll give you all have a good day.

[Rim Cothren] 17:47:46

Thank you.

[James Shalaby] 17:47:47

Thank you. Bye-bye.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:47:48

Thank you.